arXiv:hep-ph/0111407v1 29 Nov 2001

PROBING COLOUR SEPARATE SINGLET STATES IN E^+E^- ANNIHILATION AT Z^0 POLE

LI SHI-YUAN, SHAO FENG-LAN AND XIE QU-BING

Department of Physics, Shandong University,
Jinan, Shandong, P. R. C., 250100
E-mail:tpc@sdu.edu.cn

By selecting two-jet like events and employing sensitive observable, we find that two kinds of colour connections at the hard-soft interface lead to significantly differences in hadron states.

1 Introduction

In high energy strong interaction processes, e.g., $e^+e^- \to hadrons$, the confinement mechanism demands that at the end of the PQCD cascade the partons should be connected, phenomenologically, as the strings in Lund model, or clusters in Webber model[1, 2] from which the hadronization starts. This 'topology' of the parton system on the hard and soft interface is beyond PQCD approach[3,4].

In the 'standard models' of parton cascade and hadronization[1, 2], the $N_C \to \infty$ approximation(N_C is the number of colour) is applied. This approximation leads to the result that at the end of the PQCD cascade, there is a direct correspondence between the parton states and the string/cluster chain states. Such a simple but phenomenological approximation conceals the complexity of the colour connections on the hard and soft interface. It had not yet met any real challenge mainly because the 'standard models'[1, 2] which employ the large N_C approximation can reproduce most of the experimental data especially the global properties until now.

On the other hand, the straightforward 'group calculus' (i.e., direct product and reduction of the representations of the $SU_C(3)$ group) shows that the colour structure of a multi-parton state is copious and complex as long as $N_C = 3$(see, e.g., [6, 3,4]). This implies that the colour connections of the multi-parton system on the hard and soft interface can be of many kinds. The traditional one, which is employed in the 'standard models' and will be called colour singlet chain states hereafter, is only one kind among them. The PQCD calculations show that, projected onto the colour space of PQCD final state, such kind of colour connection can never have the probability 1 as long as $N_C = 3$ and that when parton number becomes larger the probability is reduced[5]. Another kind of colour connections is colour separate singlet states,

with the distinguish feature that several gluons form colour singlet clusters and can hadronize independently. Since only 3 kinds of colour(8 kinds of 'double colours'), when parton number is large[a], it is inevitably that more and more gluons have identical colours, and the colour separate states could have large probability.

We should notice that, from our previous works[6, 4, 3], the above two kinds of colour configurations, colour singlet chains with various orders for the gluons in the chain, colour separate singlets with different gluons combined into singlet clusters, are not orthogonal to each other as long as $N_C = 3$ and within PQCD framework. They belong to different complete sets of bases for the PQCD final state colour space. Hence PQCD sees no differences among them. On the other hand, different colour connections mean different string/cluster states. This implies that different colour connections can lead to differences in handron states. Furthermore, the cross section of any colour connection we calculated in PQCD by projecting it onto the PQCD colour space could have no realistic meanings. Their relative probabilities should depend on the unknown NPQCD mechanism. To analysize these issues, one needs:

1) To confirm if the colour singlet chain states and the colour separate singlet states really do not equal to each other by seeing if they lead to the differences in hadron states for the same parton state and hadronization scheme.

2)If they do not equal, to see how does nature chooses among them (maybe) by the(unknown) NPQCD laws.

Because of the lack of the knowledge of NPQCD, we can not answer these questions from the QCD Lagrangian, but employing phenomenological models. The straightforward way is to modify the event generators(e.g., JETSET as discussed following): Only the colour connections changed from colour singlet chains to colour separate singlets while the other parts (parton cascade and hadronization scheme, etc) kept. Then one can use the modified generators to produce the hadron states to see if there are some deviations from the corresponding traditional results. Further step is to compare with the data especially those the unmodified generators can not explain, to extract the relative weights of all the connections.

There have been some works along this route(e.g., [7] and refs. therein). Recently we constructed a colour separate singlet model[4]by modifying the standard JETSET7.4 code. Hereafter, we use CS(Colour Separate) for the modified JETSET, and CC(Colour singlet Chain) for the standard. However,

[a]as calculated by JETSET, the average numbers of gluons are: $\sqrt{s} = 91GeV$, $< N >\sim 6$; $\sqrt{s} = 200GeV$, $< N >\sim 9$; $\sqrt{s} = 1TeV$, $< N >\sim 17$

the results of the CS seems an ambiguity: Though the colour connection is different from that of CC, the observables describing the hadron states averaged among unbiased events have no significant differences from those of th CC provided that a T-measure to weight the colour separate states (Hence 'short strings' are favoured(see, e.g., [7] and refs. therein). Considering the uncertainties in the model, somehow we can say that for unbiased events, these two kinds of colour connections can be thought of as leading to the same hadron states[3, 8].

The clues to understand this ambiguity are: The variables describing 'global properties' are mainly decided by PQCD, not sensitive to the NPQCD properties, especially when averaged for unbiased events, as indicated by the hyperthesis local parton-hadron duality. Hence jet shape variables, inclusive momentum distributions 'should' be similar for CS and CC, when averaged for UNBIASED events. This indicate that we must look into special events. The baryon anti-baryon rapidity correlations which are expected to be more sensitive to the NPQCD properties however, are also not able to expose the differences of the two colour connections. So other more sensitive observables need to be looked for. Another reason lies on the colour separate model we constructed . Only the singlet 'glueball' cluster and its neighbouring 2 strings of the CS are different from those of CC, so the difference is somehow 'localized' in a region of the phase space. This tells that the sensitive observables are more possibly defined in a 'window' of the phase space. The above arguments will be much more clear after considering the following sections.

In this paper we selected two-jet like events, which will be described following. First, taking the advantage of generators, we select two-jet like events in the parton level, i.e., by requiring the energy of all the gluons(including medium state gluons) smaller than a certain value. This part of events shows that CS and CC lead to hadron states with significant differences when measure a certain observable(section 2). Second, in section 3, we select 2-jet like events according to the transverse momenta of the hadrons, which can be done in experiment. This part of events shows that the colour separate singlet state can be 'probed': For these events, the CS also lead to significant differences from the CC, and comparing with data we can extract the relative rate between the two kinds of colour connections . All the numerical analysize are performed with the default JETSET7.4 (for CC) and the modified generator[4](for CS). The numerical results are for the Z^0 pole and the selected two-jet like events are of the rate $\sim$ one per mill.



Figure 1. The distribution of R for two-jet like events selected at parton level.

2 Two-jet like events at parton level and a sensitive variable

The parton level selected events and its properties are described in [10]. Here we define

$$R = \sum_i |p_{Ti}|, \quad \forall |y_i| < y_0 \tag{1}$$

. in which the p_{Ti} and y_i are the transverse momentum and rapidity of the hadrons concerned. This observable can 'accumulate' the various differences between CS from CC. Its distribution for CS and CC is shown in Fig. 2

The large differences confirm us that, for the events we select, the CS and CC colour connections really lead to large differences in hadron states. Hence we can say that they do not equal to each other from the point of view of hadronization/NPQCD. The differences can not be smeared by tuning various parameters in the generator[10].

3 Selecting two-jet like events at hadron level

The discussions of the above section can be considered as a ideal experiment, which confirm us that the different colour connections really leads to different hadron states. Now let's see if we can select such kind of events from experiments. By comparing the data and the predictions of different colour connections, we can extract the relative weight of them.

It is easy to understand that no direct correspondence to the parton level selected two-jet like events from the point of view of experiment. The crucial reason is that the set of events with the energies of all the gluons in a event



Figure 2. The p_T distribution of final state hadrons for two-jet like events which is selected at parton level(dashed line) and that for unbiased events.

smaller than a certain value have no definite meaning. It is affected by, e.g., the cut-off of the parton cascade. At the same time, It is difficult to select these events with high purity by the jet shape variables. However, we can see from Fig.2 that the p_T distribution of final state hadrons for these events is significant different from that of the unbiased events. Especially in the region $p_T < 0.5GeV/c$, these events can take a large part. Hence from now on we define our two-jet like events as those events whose final state hadrons all have the transverse momenta smaller than $0.5GeV/c$. This can be measured in experiments and have definite physical meaning.

The most important thing is that, the newly-defined events have very the same properties as the parton level selected events[10].

Now we find that for the new-defined two-jet like events, there is large differences for the R distribution. We can select these events, and compare the data and theoretical prediction for variouis observables, the rate of different colour connections can be extracted.

4 Discussions

The conclusion of last section is very clear. One thing to emphasize is that, The two-jet like events we defined in last section have never been selected and compare with generator results until now. So when taking the comparison to extract the rate of different colour connections, one should worry about the validity of the generators. So what we have proposed here is also a very interesting thing to do to test the generator.



Figure 3. The R distribution for the hadron level defined two-jet like events

The two-jet like events and the observable R looks similar as those in [9] at the first sight, But there are crucial differences. In that paper, for investigating hadronization, the less gluons the better, however we would like events with many soft gluons so that CS will have large probability. In that paper, they take a sum of the vector p_T to demonstrate different correlations among different models, while we take the sum of the absolute value of the p_T to accumulate the differences.

References

1. T. Sjöstrand, Comp. Phys. Commun. 82(1994) 74; B.M Andersson et al., Phys. Rep. 97(1983)31.M
2. G. Corcella et al., JHEP0101:010,2001, and refs. therein.M
3. Q. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. D 64, 012006.M
4. Q. Wang et al., Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) M 054004.M 96-01;M
5. Jin Yi et al., in preparation.M
6. Q. Wang et al, Phys. Lett. B 388 (1996) 346; Phys. Rev.M D 52 (1995) 1469.M
7. F. Friberg et al., Nucl. Phys. B 490(97)289.M
8. Shaofenlan et al., High energy Physics and Nuclear Physic 25 (2001) 710; Shaofenlan et al., in preparation. M
9. P. Edén et al., Euro. Phys. Jour. C 8(1999) 435.
10. Li Shi-yuan et al., to be submitted to Euro. Phys. Jour. C.